Document 1

Case 3:09-cv-02139-<u>IE</u>G-AJB

Filed 09/30/2009

Page 1 of 8

CR

ORIGINAL

Ţ	I
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	

7 8 9

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

23

21

2425

///

///

///

26

27

28

2. JCI will suffer substantial and irreparable harm if this information is released because the competitor to whom it will be released is a potential competitor of JCI for similar government and commercial contracts, and the public disclosure of the information will allow other competitors and customers gain access to the information. Disclosure of the Contract will severely prejudice JCI's ability to compete because competitors will gain a competitive advantage by using that information to determine JCI's rates, overheads, profits and other sensitive pricing factors, which would then allow the competitors to underbid JCI for similar items and will permit JCI's commercial customers to bargain down prices more effectively. Despite the obvious prejudice to JCI, on September 18, 2009, the Navy made a final administrative decision to release the Contract containing the confidential pricing information to JCI's competitor.

II.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because it arises under the laws of the United States, including FOIA and the Trade Secrets Act. Judicial review of the actions of the Navy alleged herein is permitted under the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 701-706. Venue lies in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).

III.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff JCI is a full service sheet metal company specializing in the fabrication, installation, modernization and repair of shipboard engineering, habitability, joiner and ventilation systems for the Military marine industry.
- 5. Defendant Navy is the United States Department of the Navy established by an Act of Congress on April 30, 1798, to provide administrative and technical support, and civilian leadership to the United States Navy and the United States Marine Corps.

The Contract

#### 

## 

## 

# 

## 

IV.

#### **FACTS**

- 6. In June 2002, the Navy awarded JCI Contract N00244-02-D-0026, pursuant to which JCI performed various Navy shipboard repairs in San Diego. JCI has been performing repair work for the Navy for over 24 years on other contracts throughout the Pacific and East Coast.
- 7. Prior to the award of the Contract, JCI submitted a contract bid in response to the Navy's solicitation number N00244-02-R0023.
- 8. The proposal contained JCI's Contract Line Item Number ("CLIN") prices for specific tasks and materials.
- 9. JCI has treated and considered its CLINs to be confidential and proprietary material, and it has taken precautions to preserve that confidentiality.
- 10. The Contract is one of the several contracts for the Navy shipboard maintenance that have been submitted for competitive bidding to the Navy. JCI is one of the several private companies that seek to obtain contracts for such services.
- 11. If JCI's competitors knew or had access to the confidential and proprietary CLIN information in the Contract, they would gain an unfair competitive advantage over JCI in future contract competitions. This information, combined with publicly available information known by other bidders for contracts, would permit the competitors to calculate JCI's rates, overheads, profits and other sensitive pricing for similar items. The information also would allow JCI's commercial customers to bargain down prices more effectively.
- 12. The Contract expired in December 2007. Currently JCI is in the process of submission of a new bid for a new contract with the Navy for tasks very similar to those contained in Contract N00244-02-D-0026.

#### B. The Navy's Release of Information

13. On or about February 24, 2009, JCI received a letter from the Navy indicating that pursuant to a Freedom of Information Act ("FOINA") the Navy intended to release JCI's CLINs to JCI's competitor, Pacific Maritime Industries. The letter gave JCI until March 6, 2009, to submit

25

26

27

28

information that legally supports a determination that release of JCI's CLINs would cause substantial competitive harm and is therefore exempt from disclosure under the FOIA.

Filed 09/30/2009

- On or about March 5, 2009, counsel for JCI sent a letter to the Navy indicating that 14. pursuant to McDonnell Douglas Corporation v. United States Department of the Air Force, 375 F. 3d 1182 (2004) (the "McDonnell decision"), JCI's CLINs were within the scope of 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4) ("exemption 4") and therefore should not be released by the Navy because it would cause a substantial competitive harm to JCI.
- On or about March 6, 2009, the Navy responded to JCI indicating its intent to release 15. JCI's CLINs since they do not contain breakouts for cost, profit, G&A, unburdened labor rates, or similar information covered by exemption 4. The March 6, 2009, letter gave JCI until March 13, 2009, to provide the Navy with further legal support for non disclosure of JCI's CLINs. On or about March 13, 2009, counsel for JCI responded to the Navy stating the specific reasons why JCI's CLINs were within the scope of exemption 4.
- Specifically providing that release of JCI's CLINs would allow JCI's competitors to 16. deduce the percentage by which JCI marks up the bids it receives from subcontractors and provide competitors with JCI's bottom line prices. Many items in JCI's CLINs contain specific vendor discounts that JCI has been able to negotiate with the same vendors used by its competitors due to its individual relationship with a vendor. Furthermore, release of JCI's CLINs would enable JCI's competitors determine the structure of the pricing methods used by JCI and overall bid strategy of JCI as well as the structure of its pricing methods and underbid it on the subsequent contract.
- On or about, September 18, 2009, the Navy responded to JCI's March 13, 2009 letter. 17. The Navy indicated that its final administrative decision was to release JCI's CLINs and JCI had 10 business days to file a complaint or seek a restraining order to prevent the Navy from releasing JCI's CLINs.
- On or about, September 22, 2009, counsel for JCI communicated with the Navy to 18. find out whether the Navy will hold off releasing the documents if JCI will file a lawsuit. On or about, September 23, 2009, the Navy responded stating that it intends to release JCI's CLINs on October 6, 2009, unless JCI properly files and serves injunctive action before that date.

4

6

9

1112

13 14

16

15

17 18

2021

19

22

23

2425

26

///

///

27

28 ///

- 19. JCI provided the Navy with detailed and well-supported objections to release of the CLINs at issue in this action. The Navy's denial of JCI's request to withhold the information is arbitrary and capricious, an abuse of discretion, and in violation of the law.
- 20. The Navy's decision to release the Contract is a final administrative decision subject to review by this Court.
- 21. JCI has no adequate remedy at law and the equitable intervention of this Court is required to protect its lawful interests.
- 22. If the information is released as planned, JCI will suffer immediate irreparable injury and substantial competitive harm.
  - 23. Injunctive and declaratory relief is an appropriate remedy to be granted to JCI.
- 24. The requested relief is in the public interest because it will preserve the integrity of the competitive bidding process for government contracts and assure compliance with the Trade Secrets Act.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 25. JCI incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 24 of the complaint.
- 26. JCI's CLIN information at issue here is confidential commercial and financial information exempt from mandatory disclosure under Exemption Four of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4).
- 27. The Navy's determination that the information at issue is not within the scope of Exemption Four of FOIA, is arbitrary and capricious, an abuse of discretion, and violates and contravenes the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 701-706.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 28. JCI incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 27 of the complaint.
- 29. Disclosure by the Navy of JCI's CLIN information at issue here would violate and contravene the Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1905.

DATED: September 30, 2009

#### 1

## 2

## 3

## 4

## 6

## 7 8

## 9

### 10

#### 11

## 12

#### 13

### 14

#### 15

### 16 17

## 18

## 19

### 20

#### 21

22

#### 23

## 2425

#### 26

#### 27

#### 28

#### REQUEST FOR RELIEF

#### WHEREFORE, JCI requests judgment:

- a) Declaring the CLIN information at issue here is within the scope of Exemption Four of FOIA;
- b) Declaring that disclosure of the CLIN information at issue here would violate and contravene the Trade Secrets Act;
- c) Setting aside the Navy's decision to disclose the information at issue as arbitrary and capricious, an abuse of discretion, and not in accordance with law;
- d) Granting JCI's temporary and preliminary relief from disclosure of this information until this Court reaches a decision to enjoin the Navy permanently from disclosing the JCI's CLINs;
- e) Permanently enjoining the Navy, its officers, agents and employees from disclosing JCI's CLINs; and
- f) Granting such other and further relief as may be deemed just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

SCHWARTZ SEMERDJIAN HAILE BALLARD & CAULEY LLP

By:

Kevin T. Cauley, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff

JCI METAL PRODUCTS

Case 3:09-cv-02139-<u>JE</u>G-AJB Document 1 Filed 09/30/2009 Page 7 of 8 JS 44 CIVIL COVER SHEET (Rev 07/89) The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE SECOND PAGE OF THIS FORM.) **DEFENDANTS** I. (a) PLAINTIFFS UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY JCI METAL PRODUCTS 09 SEP 30 AM 10: 01 CEERK. U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF San Diego COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THEY TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. (C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) Kevin T. Cauley, Esq. (#105082) Lana U. Sheshina, Esq. (#249219) Schwartz Semerdjian Haile Ballard & Caulev LLP '09 CV 2139 IFG AJB 101 West Broadway, Suite 810, San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 236-8821 CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN 'X' IN ONE BOX FOR II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN 'X' IN ONE BOX ONLY) PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) (For Diversity Cases Only) 3 Federal Question 1 U.S. Government DEF **DEF** (U.S. Government Not a Party) Plaintiff **□**<sup>7</sup>4 **□** 4 Incorporated or Principal Place Citizen of This State □ 1 □ 1 X 2 U.S. Government 4 Diversity of Business in This State (Indicate Citizenship of Parties Defendant Incorporated and Principal Place ] 2 🔲 2 Citizen of Another State in Item III) of Business in Another State ☐ 6 ☐ 6 Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Nation Foreign Country (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE. CAUSE OF ACTION DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY.) 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4); 18 U.S.C. § 1905 **NATURE OF SUIT** (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) OTHER STATUTES **BANKRUPTCY** CONTRACT TORTS FORFEITURE/PENALTY PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 400 State Reappointment 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 610 Agriculture 110 Insurance 310 Airplane 410 Antitrust 362 Personal Injury 620 Other Food & Drug 120 Marine 315 Airplane Product 423 Withdrawal 430 Banks and Banking Medical Malpractice 625 Drug Related 130 Miller Act Liability 28 USC 157 365 Personal Injury -450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. Seizure of 140 Negotiable Instrument 320 Assault Libel & Product Liability 460 Deportation Property 21 USC 881 **PROPERTY RIGHTS** 150 Recovery of Overpayment Slander 368 Asbestos Personal 470 Racketeer Influenced and 630 Liquor Laws 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability & Enforcement of Judgment 820 Copyrights Corrupt Organizations 640 R.R. & Truck Liability 151 Medicare Act 810 Selective Service 830 Patent 650 Airline Regs. 340 Marine 152 Recovery of Defaulted PERSONAL PROPERTY 850 Securities/Commodities/ 660 Occupational 345 Marine Product 840 Trademark Exchange Student Loans (Excl. Veterans 370 Other Fraud Liability Safety/Health 875 Customer Challenge SOCIAL SECURITY 153 Recovery of Overpayment 371 Truth in Lending 350 Motor Vehicle 690 Other 12 USC 3410 of Veteran's Benefits 380 Other Personal 861 HIA (13958) 355 Motor Vehicle 891 Agricultural Acts **LABOR** 160 Stockholders' Suits Property Damage Product Liability 862 Black Lung (923) 892 Economic Stabilization 190 Other Contract 385 Property Damage 710 Fair Labor 360 Other Personal Injury 863 DIWC/DIWW Product Liability 195 Contract Product Liability 893 Environmental Matters Standards Act (405(g)) 894 Energy Allocation Act REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS 720 Labor/Mgmt, Relations 864 SSID Title XVI X 895 Freedom of 730 Labor/Momt. 510 Motion to Vacate 865 RSI (405(g)) 441 Voting 210 Land Condemnation Information Act Reporting & Sentence FEDERAL TAX SUITS 442 Employment 900 Appeal of Fee 220 Foreclosure **HABEAS CORPUS:** Disclosure Act **Determination Under** 230 Rent Lease & Electment 443 Housing/ 530 General 370 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 740 Railway Labor Act Foual Access to Justice or Defendant) 535 Death Penalty Accommodations 790 Other Labor Litigation 240 Torts to Land 950 Constitutionality of 540 Mandamus & Other 444 Welfare 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 871 IRS - Third Party 245 Tort Product Liability State Statutes 550 Civil Rights 26 USC 7609 440 Other Civil Rights Security Act 290 All Other Real Property 890 Other Statutory Actions 555 Prison Conditions (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) **ORIGIN** 7 Appeal to District 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from 2 Removal from 6 Multidistrict X 1 Original 3 Remanded from Judge from Magistrate another district Litigation Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Judgment (specify) CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ JURY DEMAND: YES **COMPLAINT:** UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE Docket Number **IF ANY** SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD DATE September 30, 2009 Kevin T. Cauley, Esq.

::ODMA\PCDOCS\WORDPERFECT\22816\1 January 24, 2000 (3:10pm) #5763 #350.00

ORIGINAL

Court Name: USDC California Southern

Division: 3

Receipt Number: CAS005763 Cashier ID: msweaney

Transaction Date: 09/30/2009 Payer Name: SCHWARTZ SEMERDJIAN

CIVIL FILING FEE

: For: JCI METAL V US DEPT OF NAVY Case/Party: D-CAS-3-09-CV-002139-001

Amount:

\$350.00

CHECK

. Check/Money Order Num: 26267

Amt Tendered: \$350.00

Total Due: \$350.00

Total Tendered: \$350.00

Change Amt: \$0.00

There will be a fee of \$45.00 charged for any returned check.